



19

PCF

GP 3723

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/540,366  
Filed: June 23, 2005  
International Filing Date: December 22, 2003  
Applicant: Hasegawa  
Group Art Unit: 3723  
Examiner: Not yet known  
Entitled: ROTATIVELY OPERATING TOOL FOR ROTATIVELY  
OPERATED MEMBER HAVING A PAIR OF  
ENGAGING SURFACES  
Docket No.: OCH1846-004

RECEIVED

JAN 09 2006

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Mail Stop AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8 (A)

Date of Deposit:

December 21, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant*  
Trisha M. Beachy-Bryant

Sir:

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98**

As authorized and encouraged under 37 CFR §§ 1.97-1.98 and the provisions of MPEP §§ 609 and 707.05(b), Applicant submits on the attached form PTO-1449 certain patent references, publications and/or other information that the Patent and Trademark Office may wish to consider in examining the above-identified patent application. Applicant submits this statement in accordance with their duty of disclosure under 37 CFR § 1.56.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.98, a copy of each document is included for the express purpose of providing the Patent and Trademark Office with ample opportunity to

evaluate the same and arrive at an independent assessment of the materiality of each, if any, to the examination of the above-identified application. In reviewing the enclosed copies, the Examiner is instructed to ignore any underscoring or highlighting which may have been done because such markings may or may not have any relationship to the subject matter of the above-identified application. The copies being submitted with this statement are the best copies available at this time.

The identification of any document in this statement is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law.


This statement is filed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97(b), before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits.

Applicant respectfully requests that the documents cited in this statement be made of record in the normal manner and that such documents appear on the printed patent as being considered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 12-20-05

By:



Eric M. Gayan  
Registration No. 46,103  
Standley Law Group LLP  
495 Metro Place South, Suite 210  
Dublin, Ohio 43017-5319  
Telephone: (614) 792-5555  
Facsimile: (614) 792-5536



**FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH  
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

U.S. Department of Commerce : Atty. Docket No.: OCH1846-004  
Patent and Trademark Office : Application No.: 10/540,366  
: Filed: June 23, 2005  
: International Filing Date: December 22, 2003  
INFORMATION : Applicant: Hasegawa  
DISCLOSURE STATEMENT : Group Art Unit: 3723  
BY APPLICANT : Examiner: Not yet known

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner's Initial	Document Number	Date	Name(s)	Translation yes/no
	JP Showa 51[1976]-149499	5/22/1975	Cheng	yes
	JP Showa 52[1977]-56695	10/22/1975	Miyazaki	yes
	JP Showa 57[1982]-197470	6/11/1981	Saito	yes
	JP S61[1986]-16277	1/30/1986	Haga	yes
	JP 07-186058	7/25/1995	Baba et al.	yes (abstract)
	EP 0 913 895 A2	5/6/1999	Mori et al.	n/a
	JP11-138455	5/25/1999	Hayashi et al.	yes (abstract)

Examiner	Date Considered
----------	-----------------

Examiner: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

**RECEIVED**

**JAN 09 2006**

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700**